

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,936	12/19/2005	Peter Moeller-Jensen	2002010-US	4770
136 7590 ONOS2008  JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600			EXAMINER	
			SCHELL, LAURA C	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/520.936 MOELLER-JENSEN ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit LAURA C. SCHELL 3767 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) LAURA C. SCHELL. (3) (2) Suzin Bailey. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 21 February 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_ Claim(s) discussed: 3,6,7,13 and 23-25. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Bailey called concerning the first 112 rejection made in the office action mailed 11/29/2007. The first rejection was drawn to the question of where the gas being pumped into the reservoir was coming from. Ms. Bailey wanted to know what a sufficient response would be for clarification and the examiner suggested that in her response she provide specific locations in the specification that point to support and clarification. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Laura C Schell/
Examiner, Art Unit 3767
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080221